

**APPENDIX F**  
**WORK PLAN**

**Published as a separate TTY Form Document**

## APPENDIX G

### Typical Operating Characteristics for Wire-Line Based TTYs

The following is a technical description of the typical operating characteristics for existing wire-line based Text-Telephones for the Deaf (TTYs). This document is not intended to be a performance description of any one product, but to give a representation of performance of the majority of the product supplied to wire-line TTY customers in the last five years. TTY manufacturing representatives has reviewed this information and agrees that it represents an accurate account of the performance characteristics of existing wire-line products.

It should be noted that it is not possible to precisely define performance for all products, in all situations, in the field. Variation beyond this technical representation does exist for older product, products that are no longer supported by a manufacturer, individual products that are not operating correctly and improper use of product. It is not possible to report this additional range of variation, only to say that these products performance would suffer on either a connection to wire-line or wire-less TTY.

#### TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

For Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) two signal frequencies are required to modulate the asynchronous serial data to be sent over the conventional voice grade telephone lines of the switched telephone network. For Baudot communications to be useful on the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN) these frequencies fall within the central portion of the telephone line pass-band (300 – 3300 Hz).

The two frequencies of the transmitted signal must be sent in accordance with FCC requirements defined in dBm (decibels with reference to a power of one milliwatt for metallic connections, where 0 dBm = 1 milliwatt). The acoustic measurements are in dBSPL for acoustic configurations. This signal is measured at the TTY interface, either at the metallic connections or where it is acoustically coupled to the telephone network.

The receive level, commonly referred to as sensitivity, is also given for each pair of frequencies. This signal, also measured in dBm for direct connections and dBSPL for acoustic configurations, is the typical signal measured at the connection that will result in error-free reception of a test message.

### BAUDOT CODE OPERATION

All TTYs provide Baudot code operation employing half-duplex, simplex, asynchronous, FSK transmission.

#### Frequencies

Baudot code operation used the following frequencies:

Signal	Frequency	Tolerance	
		Transmit	Receive
Mark	1400 Hz	$\pm 1\%$	$\pm 4\%$
Space	1800 Hz	$\pm 1\%$	$\pm 4\%$

#### Bit Duration

The bit duration is 22.00 milliseconds (ms)  $\pm 0.40$ ms to provide a nominal baud rate of 45.45 bits per second.

### CHARACTER FORMAT

#### Transmit

The Baudot code for each character is transmitted with the following format, the data bits assigned are in accordance with Table 1.2 with a “1” in the binary representation transmitted as a mark and a “0” as a space.

Bit	Start	Data	Data	Data	Data	Data	Stop
Signal	Space	LSB	Bit 2	Bit 3	Bit 4	MSB	Mark
Number of Bits	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.5-2.0 2.0 Typ.

Table 1.1

Where the LSB is the Least Significant Bit and the MSB is the Most Significant Bit. The bits shall be transmitted from left to right.

### Receive

The TTY is capable of receiving characters with the format of Table 1.1 with a stop bit of at least 1.0 bit length or longer. The receiver is capable of receiving characters either with the space tone of the start bit as the first tone received or with a mark tone preceding the start bit.

### Mark Hold Time

The mark hold time defines an additional period of time during which the TTY transmits a mark hold tone (1400 Hz) following the last character transmitted. Mark hold tone is not transmitted between each character if the character is followed immediately by another character. The mark hold tone is transmitted for a period between 150ms to 300 ms after the end of the stop bit(s).

Transmit Levels		
Coupling Method	Level	Range
Acoustic Direct Connect	108 dBSPL -10 dBm	$\pm 6$ dB * - 3 ,+1 dB

Sensitivity Levels		
Coupling Method	Level	Range
Acoustic Direct Connect	72 dBSPL -40 dBm	$\pm 6$ dB * $\pm 5$ dB

Most receivers are capable of receiving signal up to at least -5dBm.

\* NOTE: Acoustic performance variations greater than listed may be encountered and are a result of many variables including the type of telephone handset used and how well the acoustic coupling is made by the user. It is not possible to report this additional range of variation, only to say that these products performance would suffer on either a connection to wire-line or wire-less TTY.

TABLE 1.2

Set of Baudot Codes for TTYs

	DEC	HEX	BINARY	LETTER	FIGURE
0	00	00000	BackSpace	BackSpace	
1	01	00001	E	3	
2	02	00010	LF	LF	
3	03	00011	A	.	
4	04	00100	Space	Space	
5	05	00101	S		
6	06	00110	I	8	
7	07	00111	U	7	
8	08	01000	CR	CR	
9	09	01001	D	\$	
10	0A	01010	R	4	
11	0B	01011	J	'	
12	0C	01100	N	,	
13	0D	01101	F	!	
14	0E	01110	C	:	
15	0F	01111	K	(	
16	10	10000	T	5	
17	11	10001	Z	"	
18	12	10010	L	)	
19	13	10011	W	2	
20	14	10100	H	=	
21	15	10101	Y	6	
22	16	10110	P	0	
23	17	10111	Q	1	
24	18	11000	O	9	
25	19	11001	B	?	
26	1A	11010	G	+	
27	1B	11011	FIGS	FIGS	
28	1C	11100	M	.	
29	1D	11101	X	/	
30	1E	11110	V	;	
31	1F	11111	LTRS	LTRS	

Note: CR and LF may be manually or automatically generated by the TTY. If automatic generated, the sequence may contain an extra (non-printable) character to provide adequate time for older electromechanical TTYs to respond. CR & LF are inserted into the transmitted characters after a maximum of 72 characters to allow for the carriage return of older electromechanical TTYs.

## APPENDIX H

### Modem / IWF Manufacturer Contact List

*List of Names and Addresses to Receive IWF Letter*

FirstName	LastName	Company	Address	Address2	City	State	Zip
Veda	Krishnan	Cirrus Logic	110 Horizon Dr	#300	Raleigh	NC	27615
Zarko	Draganic	Alto Com Inc.	257 Castro St	Suite 233	Mountain View	CA	94041
Edward	Campbell	3Com					
Raouf	Halim	Rockwell	4311 Jamboree Rd		Newport Beach	CA	92660
Aaron	Fisher	Lucent	Room 55F-311	1247 S. Cedar Crest Blvd.	Allentown	PA	18105
Judy	Sheff	Lucent	Room 5SF18	2 Oak Way	Berkeley Heights	NJ	07922
Greg	Garen	Lucent Technologies - Microelectronics Group	Room 22W-219(Mail Stop EQ)	555 Union Blvd.	Allentown	PA	18103
Warren	Henderson	Henderson Laboratories					
Moiz	Beguwala	Rockwell	4311 Jamboree Rd		Newport Beach	CA	92660

**CC: National Association of State Relay Administration (NASRA)**  
**Merilyn Crain, Chair**  
**315 So. College Rd. Suite 208**  
**Lafayette, LA 70503**

## **APPENDIX I**

### **TTY Forum Chair's Update Memorandums**

**IWF letter dated November 16, 1998**

Sent to:

3Com

Mr. Zarko Draganic, CEO, Alto Com Inc.

Ms. Veda Krishnan, (to be supplied) Cirrus Logic

Mr. Aaron Fisher, Vice President, Wireless Products, Lucent Technologies

Ms. Judy Sheff, VP Intellectual Property, Lucent Technologies

Mr. Greg Garen, General Manager Modem and Multimedia Products Lucent Technologies -  
Microelectronics Group

(To be supplied), Motorola

Mr. Raouf Halim VP and General Manager, Network Access Division, Rockwell Semiconductor  
Systems

Mr. Moiz Beguwala, VP and General Manager, Personal Computing Division, Rockwell  
Semiconductor Systems

Dear Sir/Madam

In response to a FCC inquiry, the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association (CTIA) and the Personal Communications Industry Association (PCIA) have established a technical forum to address the issue of providing reliable communications for deaf and hard of hearing people over digital wireless systems. Specifically this forum is addressing the issue of deaf and hard of hearing people using digital wireless connections to access 9-1-1 centers.

A solution that appears to offer promise for the longer term, involves the use of new (or modified) communications terminals, used by deaf and hard of hearing people, (TTYs) connected through a serial interface to the digital cell phone. The data channel, provided by the air interface, would then be used to effectively extend this interface to the network. This of course, would require the use of an Interworking Function (IWF)\*<sup>2</sup> in the network that would be capable of supporting TTY communications. We are aware that some of the IWFs being developed will support 45.45 Baudot TTY transmission (the transmission mode most commonly used by deaf and hard of hearing people in the United States). While this caters well to the present need, it has the drawback that it locks deaf and hard of hearing people into this older technology.

A more desirable solution would be one which would involve the use of ITU-T Recommendation, V.18, that specifies a protocol, which provides for higher speed ASCII based communications while at the same time maintaining compatibility with today's Baudot TTY devices. The problem with this solution is that V.18 has yet to be implemented by any major modem manufacturer. We have, however, been given a presentation by a UK based company that has developed a prototype "stand alone" V.18 product which it plans to introduce commercially early next year. In addition to this, we have been given a demonstration of an in-service Swedish IWF, which incorporates V.18 functionality. It might also be of interest to note

---

<sup>2</sup> The term IWF is used in its broadest sense in this letter. (See the definition in TIA TSB-100)



that the service provider sees text telephony as a generic service (e.g. not just for deaf or hard of hearing). These two events may be moving V.18 into the readily achievable category.

It seems likely that if the IWF function and the modems installed at the 9-1-1 centers were to incorporate V.18 capability, connections could be made at the higher V.18 rates. Likewise it would appear that the connect time could be shortened as V.18 incorporates a calling tone, which could be instantly recognized by equipment at the 9-1-1 centers, thereby eliminating the loss of precious time, which is normally incurred while attempting to determine the source of a "silent" call.

Assuming that you agree that the timely provision of this functionality is important, we are hoping that you can provide us with an indication of when we might expect to see products (e.g. consumer modems, IWFs) from your company that implement V.18. Any information you could provide to us, by 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1998, would greatly help us in developing our response to the FCC.

Date: March 22, 1999

FM: TTY Forum Co-Chairs; Ed Hall, CTIA and Todd Lantor, PCIA

TO: TTY Forum Members and Interested Parties

RE: TTY Forum Update

Greetings,

A recent conversation with Dr. Steven Benno of Lucent Technologies has informed us that he has completed the Lucent software simulation of the TTY "no-gain" solution and it is now released and available to all those interested in exploring its functionality, compatibility and potential benefits with various CLEP vocoders. According to Dr. Benno, the following equipment and infrastructure vendors have requested a copy of his newly released code for testing purposes; Ericsson, Motorola, Nokia, NORTEL and Qualcomm. As co-chairs, we remain hopeful that this Lucent contribution will spark an interest for some manufacturers to re-visit their past efforts with vocoders, which perhaps may lead to follow-on contributions at our next TTY Forum.

During the last TR45 meeting, (March 3-4) CTIA submitted the 2.5mm Jack SRD, on behalf of the Forum. TR45 accepted this contribution and remanded it to the TDMA (TR45.3) and CDMA (TR45.5) sub-committees for information and to the appropriate sub-committee (TR45.1) for Action. Likewise, the TDMA and CDMA sub-committees reported back to the Chair that both of these digital technologies have developed standards supporting the Inter-working Function (IWF) as described in the TTY Forum's SRD on Circuit Switched Data submitted during the December TR45 meeting. This news brings the industry one step closer to the Forum's proposed "long term" data solution. The willingness of some modem manufacturers (3COM) to support the V.18 protocol is the other critical issue needed to make the IWF a viable option to carriers as a means of supporting TTY over digital - long term. The IWF solution opens the doors to the future by allowing end-users the use of ultra-light computers, compact PDA's, etc.

At this point I think it is important to remember that it has been the synergy, team-spirit and positive environment provided by the members of the TTY Forum that has lead us to this point. But, we do not want anyone to have the false impression that the end-all, be-all solution(s) have thus far been developed. Although Dr. Benno's "no-gain" solution remains a major breakthrough for TTY, "short term", voice based (specifically CLEP vocoders) solution and the V.18 protocol a major breakthrough for TTY "long term", data solution these by no means require carriers or manufactures to implement anyone one or both of these solutions. Keep in mind the other solutions brought to the Forum by Lober and Walsh and Ericsson. These solutions have also proved to be quite successful and promising for certain digital technologies. It is important to keep in mind that the carrier is responsible for the selection and implementation of a solution(s) that will allow TTY users to access 9-1-1 over its digital system. The best we as a Forum can do at this point is continue to provide the positive environment, feedback and input to manufacturers and carriers regarding testing and consumer needs and requirements and keep the standards development bodies involved when needed. CTIA and PCIA remain committed.

In conclusion, we propose that at the next TTY Forum we initiate the process to develop the final report to the FCC. Based on the contributions received to date and those anticipated at our next meeting, we believe we will have sufficient information to develop specific comments and recommendations. The TTY Forum can then plan to meet on a quarterly basis to "evaluate" progress and provide the FCC with a periodic, implementation status report.

My thanks to all members of the TTY Forum. Looking forward to seeing everyone in May.

July 23, 1999

Fm: TTY Forum Co-Chairs  
TO: TTY Forum

RE: Update: TTY Forum and Interested Parties

Todd Lantor and I would like to take this opportunity to provide you with an overview of some interesting developments that have come to our attention since the last Forum held on May 18<sup>th</sup>, 1999.

The Lucent "no gain" vocoder solution has been widely accepted by TR45.5, the CDMA air-interface standards group. The "no gain" solution draft standards document has recently been prepared for ballot. Assuming a "clear" ballot response, the industry may have a CDMA TTY standard as early 4Q99. Likewise, TR45.3, the TDMA air-interface standards group is actively pursuing the same course as the CDMA group. The Nokia variation, presented to the Forum during the May meeting is being reviewed and considered. The group plans to complete its deliberation quickly and move toward the final stages by preparing a draft document for ballot.

Ericsson has provided the co-chairs with a copy of a document that proposes an alternative approach to the Lucent "no gain" vocoder solution. In the interest of time, and to take advantage of the TR45.3 meeting cycle, Ericsson thought it prudent to submit the alternative approach directly to the TDMA working group. Although it is being discussed at standards, Ericsson will present this vocoder alternative at the upcoming September TTY Forum.

Concurrently, we are preparing a draft "TTY Forum Status Report" for the FCC. The report, as a minimum, will contain the following sections:

- Updated Work Plan
- TTY testing completed to date
- A Technical Standards Update
  - Voice Based Approach
  - Data Approach
- Comments and Recommendations

Todd and I plan on getting a draft of this report to the TTY Forum Steering Committee for their review and approval before the next TTY Forum: The Steering Committee is comprised of: Toni Dunne, Texas 9-1-1; Billy Ragsdale, Bell South; Claude Stout, TDI; Norm Williams, Gallaudet UN; Jeff Crollick, TIA; John Melcher, NENA.

**Next Meeting:** We are currently making arrangements for the **September 9, 1999** TTY Forum and will get the meeting logistics out separately.

The meeting will be in the **Washington DC** area but **WILL NOT** be at Gallaudet Univ. Their calendar cannot support us. The meeting will start at **9:00 AM** and adjourn at 5:00 PM. Please do not make travel arrangements leaving the DC area before 6:30 PM. Now that we have reduced the meetings to one day, I see this Forum's agenda as being quite full.

Thank you all and have a very cool and pleasant summer. See you September!

## Appendix J

### Technical Standards Reference

<b><u>ID</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
TIA/EIA 825	FSK Modem
TIA/EIA TSB-121	Cellular Subscriber Unit Interface for TDD
TIA/EIA-IS-823-A (PN-4614)	TR 45.3 5.3 TDMA TTY Solution- 410 vocoder
TIA/EIA-IS-840-A (PN-4721)	TR 45.3 5.3 TDMA TTY Min Performance.
TIA/EIA/IS-789-A: IS-733-2, IS-127-3	Electrical Specification for the Portable Phone to Vehicle - CDMA Vocoder Standards - high rate
IS-707-A-2	CDMA Data (V.18) Standard
3GPP2 C.S0028	CDMA TTY/TDD Minimum Performance Specification
TIA/EIA-136-270-B	TDMA Third Generation Wireless – Mobile Stations Minimum Performance
TIA/EIA-136-280-B	TDMA Third Generation Wireless – Base Stations Minimum Performance
3GPP TS26.226	Cellular Text Telephone Modem Description
3GPP TS26.230	Cellular Text Telephone Modem Transmitter Code
3GPP TR26.231	Cellular Text Telephone Modem Minimum Performance Specifications
ETSI ETR 333	Text Telephony, User Requirements and Recommendations
ITU-T Rec. v.61	Analog simultaneous voice and data (permits VCO with ASCII modems)
ITU-T Rec. V.18	Operational and Interworking Requirements for DCE's operating in the Text Telephone Mode
ITU-T Rec. V. 250	Serial asynchronous automatic dialing and control

ITU-T Rec. V.8	Procedures for starting sessions of data transmission over the public switched telephone network
T1.718	PCS 1900 Cellular Text Telephone Modem (CTM) Transmitter Bit Exact C-Code
T1.719	PCS 1900 CTM General Descriptions
T1.720	PCS 1900 CTM Minimum Performance Requirements
TIA/EIA-688	DTE/DCE Interface for Digital Cellular Equipment

### **Timeline of Events in CDMA and TDMA standards**

#### **CDMA: TIA TR45.5.1.1**

=====

August 2000: Lucent proposed bug fixes to the TTY/TDD addenda and proposed a TTY/TDD Minimum Performance Specification for CDMA.

November 2000: Nortel proposes to add a test vector to the Min Perf Spec in order to handle the hard handoff scenario. This scenario uncovers another bug in the code.

Dec 2000: Lucent proposes another bug fix, which is approved, but the subcommittee doesn't baseline the fixes in order to give more time to find problems.

Jan 2001: Updates to the TTY specifications and Min Perf Specs are baselined and sent to V&V.

#### **TDMA: TIA TR45.3.5**

=====

October 2000: Proposed bug fixes to IS-823 TTY Extension to TIA/EIA 136-410.

December 2000: Proposed additional bug fix similar to the bug fix proposed for CDMA in Dec. 2000.

January 2001: Nokia and Ericsson present contribution questioning the necessity of any bug fixes. Nokia proposes change to standard to improve TTY performance during signaling.

February 2001: A problem is found with IS-840 TTY/TDD Min Perf Spec for TDMA. Nokia (the editor) will provide an update to fix problem and update based on Nokia's proposed change to IS-823.

March 2001: Changes to IS-823 are approved. Nokia commits to having a new version of IS-840 for review by next meeting. The subcommittee decides to ballot new versions of IS-823 and IS-840 together.

## **APPENDIX K**

### **Glossary of Terms**

#### **Telecommunications Standards and Assignment Organizations**

**ANSI - American National Standards Institute**

The ultimate accolade for any standard is ANSI certification. This does not mean that ANSI has reviewed the standard, but that it has been circulated widely throughout the industry and that it conforms to their document design and publication guidelines. TIA standards, for example, start their public life as an IS- (Interim Standard) and then proceed within a few years to a full ANSI standard. The analog cellular standard started as EIA/TIA IS-3 and is now the ANSI standard identified as EIA/TIA-553.

**ATIS - Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions**

The major US telecom standards organization beside the TIA, most responsible for ANSI SS7 standards. This organization was previously called ECSA; Exchange Carriers Standards Association. SS7 and wireless standards are developed within the T1 committee.

**Bellcore - Bell Communications Research**

Bellcore is not a standards organization, but they do write technical documents that are treated as if they were standards by many telecommunications carriers, particularly their former owners, the 7 regional bell operating companies. These documents include the GR-145 specification for interconnect, enhanced SS7 specifications beyond ANSI and the WACS low-mobility PCS system. Bellcore also performs many other research and consulting functions.

**ETSI - European Telecommunications Standards Institute**

The mission of ETSI is "to produce the technical standards which necessary to achieve a large unified European telecommunications market". This includes the specification of the GSM cellular and PCS standard.

**IFAST - International Forum on ANSI-41 Standards Technology**

A forum on international cellular carriers, vendors and service providers that attempts to resolve international roaming problems with AMPS-compatible systems (i.e. including IS-136 D-AMPS and IS-95 CDMA). The organization has taken responsibility for allocating the International Roaming MIN resources (MIN's starting with the digits 0 or 1) and new blocks of SID codes.

**INC - Industry Numbering Committee**

The Industry Numbering Committee (INC) is a standing committee of the Carrier Liaison Committee (CLC). The INC provides an open forum to address and resolve industry-wide issues associated with the planning, administration, allocation, assignment and use of resources and related dialing considerations for public telecommunications within the North American Numbering Plan (NANP) area.

**ITU - International Telecommunications Union**

The ITU is the global equivalent of ANSI for telecommunications standards. In fact, the world is divided into the majority of countries that adhere to ITU standards, and the US and Canada that tend to use ANSI standards. AMPS cellular is an exception, as it

has been implemented in many other countries. ITU standards that are used in AMPS cellular include: E.164 - the global numbering plan. E.212 - the global mobile identification plan. Q.7xx - a series of standards defining Signaling System #7 (used as an alternative to ANSI SS7 in AMPS countries outside the US and Canada).

**NANPA** - North American Numbering Plan Administration

The organization responsible for allocating numbering resources within the North American Numbering Plan Area: USA, some of its territories, Canada and several Caribbean nations. Controlled by Bellcore until January 1998, it is now managed by Lockheed-Martin. It is responsible for assignment of new area codes within the North American Numbering Plan and office code assignments within US states and territories.

**NENA** - National Emergency Number Association

NENA, along with NASNA (National Association of State 9-1-1 Administrators), APCO (Association of Public Safety Communications Officials) and the TIA are responsible for promoting enhanced 9-1-1 standards for wireless systems.

**TIA** - Telecommunications Industry Association

**WWITF** – Wireline Wireless Integration Task Force

## **Government and Regulatory Organizations**

**Australian Communications Authority (ACA)**

The organization responsible for the management of radio spectrum and telecommunications in Australia, formed by a merger of AUSTEL and SMA. APUMP represents people who are unhappy with the decision to eliminate analog cellular by the year 2000 in favor of the three GSM systems.

**RSP** - New Zealand Radio Spectrum Authority

Responsible for the management of radio spectrum in New Zealand.

**US Dept. of Commerce**

The Office of Telecommunications provides a great online source of worldwide wireless telecommunications information.

**FCC** - US Federal Communications Commission

The organization responsible for the management of telecommunications in the United States. Their responsibilities for public radio communications, such as cellular, include allocation of frequencies, the development of regulations that govern their use and monitoring to ensure that regulations are followed.

## **Wireless Telecommunications Trade Associations**

**ATIS** – Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions

**CTIA** - Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association

A trade association of wireless carriers in the United States, Canada and other countries. Originally a cellular organization, it now has members that are Manufacturers, PCS, ESMR and Satellite carriers.

**CWTA** - Canadian Wireless Telecommunications Association

A trade association of wireless carriers in Canada.

**MMTA** - Multi-Media Telecommunications Association

An association of companies focused on computer-telephony integration. They announced in November 1996 that they were merging with the TIA.

**PCIA** - Personal Communications Industry Association

Formerly Telocator, this organization represents Paging, PCS, ESMR, SMR and mobile data service providers as well as communications site managers, equipment manufacturers, and others providing products and services to the wireless industry.

**TIA** - Telecommunications Industry Association

United States Telephone Association.

A trade association for US local exchange carriers.

## **Wireless Forums**

**CDG** CDMA Development Group

A trade association dedicated to the promotion of CDMA wireless technology.

**MIPS** Mobile Internet Phone Services Forum

A new group dedicated to promoting the development of Internet access technologies, services and features from mobile devices.

**PACS Providers Forum**

PACS (Personal Access Communication System) is a PCS system based on Bellcore's WACS and Japan's PHS, that will provide 64kbps voice and data, but is restricted to low mobility applications.

**Universal Wireless Communications Consortium**

Promoters of the IS-136 TDMA digital cellular and PCS standards, mostly through conferences and symposiums.

**WDF** The Wireless Data Forum is an independent, protocol-neutral trade group dedicated to promoting the wireless data industry. WDF's members include wireless operators and equipment providers, application developers and information technology companies working to advance wireless and mobile data products and services.

## **Glossary**

**Analog Signal** A signal that varies in a continuous manner, such as voice.

**ANI** Automatic identification of the calling station

**ANSI** American National Standards Institute.

**ATIS** Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solution (formerly ECSA). Responsible for ANSI SS7 standards and US GSM standardization.

**BS** Base Station

**CPAS** Cellular Priority Access Service

**ESN** Electronic Serial Number

**GETS** Government Emergency Telephone Service

**HLR** Home Location Register (database of subscriber records)

**IFAST** International Forum for AMPS Standards Technology

**INC** Industry Numbering Committee

**IS** TIA Interim Standard.

**JEM** Joint Experts Meeting

**J-STD** Joint ATIS and TIA standard.

**LERG** Local Exchange Routing Guide



**LEA** Law Enforcement Agency  
**MS** Mobile Station (i.e. wireless phone)  
**MSC** Mobile Switching Center (aka MTSO)  
**NAG** Numbering Advisory Group  
**PACA** Priority Access Channel Assignment  
**PN** TIA Project Number. Identifies a project during development of a standard.  
**SP** ANSI Standards Proposal. ANSI equivalent of a PN  
**TLDN** Temporary Local Directory Number  
**TIA** Telecommunications Industry Association  
**TTY** Text Telephony  
**TDD** Telecommunications Device for the Deaf  
**VLR** Visited Location Register  
**WIN** Wireless Intelligent Network